

11.05.2015

CODE: IJAR 14546

Paper acceptance letter

to: **Sahabuddin Sunusi, M. Arifin Ahmad, Andi Ihsan, M. Arif Tiro**

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that your paper entitled **"The influence of lecturers' teaching ability and organizational climate toward midshipman's learning motivation and midshipman's learning outcome in polytechnic seamanship Makassar"** was reviewed by 2 reviewers and got positive opinion. This paper has been accepted for publication at the peer-reviewed **"International Journal of Academic Research"**, Baku, Azerbaijan to be published in May, 2015.

For information: "IJAR"™ is indexed/abstracted in "Master Journal List" (ISI - Thomson Reuters), Ulrich'sWeb (USA), "Scirus-Elsevier" (Netherlands), "EBSCO-Academic Search Complete" (USA), "Zentralblatt MATH" (Springer Verlag-Germany), "IndexCopernicus International" (Poland), "Norwegian Social Science Data Services", "Periodicos (CAPes)", "CAB Abstracts", "Global Health", "Genamics" (USA), "Google Scholar" (USA), "Periodiques" (France) and etc.

Publishing director:



Dr. J.Jafarov

Title **THE INFLUENCE OF LECTURERS' TEACHING ABILITY AND ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE TOWARD MIDSHIPMAN'S LEARNING MOTIVATION AND MIDSHIPMAN'S LEARNING OUTCOME IN POLYTECHNIC SEAMANSHIP MAKASSAR**

Author(s) **Sahabuddin Sunusi, M. Arifin Ahmad, Andl Ihsan, M. Arif Tiro**

Score Card for Manuscript Assessment		Reviewer score	Maximum Score
A. Content	Introduction		
	1. The actual gaps of knowledge are clearly defined.	8.5	10
	2. The originality main idea (novelty in problem solving or scientific development) must be stated.	8.5	10
	3. Define the main goal and implication (beneficial) of the proposed topic in scientific development and/or practical use.	9	10
	Methodology		
	4. Materials and methods should be appropriate and suitable to achieve the proposed goal.	7.5	10
	5. Provide enough detail for a competent worker to repeat the study and reproduce the results.	7.5	10
	Results and discussion		
	6. Comprise the new knowledge that author is contributing to the world of knowledge.	7.5	10
	7. Discuss the significance of the results.	8	10
8. Specificity and/or superiority of the problem solving.	7.5	10	
References			
9. It must be in accordance with the problem under consideration (relevant).	3.5	5	
10. What are in the text, it must exist in the references list	5	5	
B. Presentation	1. Concise-Complete-Coherent-Clear	3.5	5
	2. Scientific English	3.5	5
Total score		80.5	100

The minimum score for acceptance

80 (in condition no.10 is 5)

Recommendation for acceptance

Qualified for publication

Recommendation for improvement

Author(s) have to check and improve items that appeared in galley proof before publishing.